

Information Technology and Society

Topic 4: Intellectual property

1. Purpose and limitations of intellectual property
2. Intellectual-property issues raised by IT
3. Problems: software copying, file sharing
4. Technical counter measures
5. Legal counter measures

1. Purpose and limitations of intellectual property

U.S. Constitution

- “The congress shall have the Power to ... promote the Progress of Science and useful Arts, by securing for limited Times to Authors and Inventors the exclusive Right to their respective Writings and Discoveries...” (Art. I, Sec. 8)
- First U.S. copyright law, 1790, protected works for fourteen years

What is special about intellectual artifacts

Intellectual artifacts

- persist over time, rather than dissipate
- can be used by an unlimited number of persons at a time
- are built to a greater degree on the previous work of others

Types of intellectual property rights

- Copyright
- Patent
- Trademark
- Trade secret
- Common features:
 - Valuable
 - Creative
 - Intangible
 - Easily copied

Purpose of intellectual property

- Public benefits (not a “natural” right)
- To encourage innovation by rewarding it
- Limited monopolies for creators
- Lockean labor theory of property: possession of rights comes from labor invested
- Hegelian personality theory: our intellectual creations are part of us

Limitations on intellectual property

- *Fair use*: copying for purposes of criticism, comment, news reporting, teaching, scholarship, research
- Time limitation
- Not all information is eligible
- Want a public domain as well (like the natural environment), as common intellectual property

Exclusive rights by copyright

- Copyright protects *expression* not *ideas*
- Making copies
- Distributing copies
- Performing works
- Displaying works
- Producing *derivative works*

Copyright expiration and limitations

- Work enters *public domain* after a legislated period
- Congress has *extended* the period more than twelve times, from 14 years (1790) to current period of 75 years
- Not copyrightable: facts, ideas, processes, modes of operation

Fair use

- Recognized by 1976 law
- Criticism, comment, news reporting, teaching, scholarship, research
- *Factors:*
 - Purpose of use (commercial or not)
 - Nature of work (use of fiction less likely to be fair use)
 - Size of material copied
 - Effect on market value
- Sony case, 1984: copy of video for viewing later is fair use

2. Intellectual-property issues raised by IT

- Intellectual property is considered by some the main IT issue of the time
- Homologization of all information: bits, demographic data, gene maps
- Hence “the medium is not the message; the medium is *irrelevant*”
- As Microsoft owns code in PCs; patents exist for some genes of humans
- Intellectual property rights have been expanded in copyright and then patent form

Technical factors

- Before printing, intellectual property was not an issue because it was almost as hard to copy a book as to write one
- Before IT, only publishers could publish
- With IT, copying is easier (speed, accuracy, storage capacity, connectivity)
- Medium is a decreasing part of cost
- Production costs may be recovered by access control, technical assistance, advertising
- Malleability enables derivative works

Example: Copying software for friends

Is it morally justifiable to copy copyrighted software for one's friend?

- Is the law just or unjust?
- Do ethics let us make exceptions for our friends and ourselves?
- What about software makes this case different from stealing microchips?

Note: Morality is *public* and requires *impartiality* (B. Gert)

Considerations in software copying

- What if the friend uses the software only once?
- ... to test the software for possible purchase?
- Is this case like speed limits?
- Is the cost of unauthorized copying factored into the price of software?

Electronic publishing and intellectual property

- Electronic publishing reduces costs and risk of publication
- “Access to an overwhelming number of elements of daily life is now controlled by intellectual property law.” (S. Warwick)
- “Copyright in the United States is becoming more a tool for securing property interests than a mode of encouraging new works.” (Warwick)

Issues raised by 1996 White Paper on intellectual property

- Issued by Clinton administration, not adopted
- *Purpose*: Consider level of protection of intellectual property needed in cyberspace
- *Case*: software distribution by MIT student
- *Repackaging database information*: ProCD CDROM
- *Reverse engineering*: studying design of a system for which one is developing software
- *Copying in transmission*: copy of email attachment stays on mail server

3. Problems: software copying, file sharing

Software patents

- Patents protect inventions and devices, give inventor monopoly for a time period
- Invention may not be obvious or in wide use
- Is software an invention or a writing?
- Supreme Court, 1981: software is not patentable because abstract; later, patents were issued with Federal court approval
- *Example*: Amazon.com obtained a patent on one-click shopping, IBM on online catalogs

Software copyright

- Recognized by Congress, 1976
- Reverse engineering of video-game machine software for research purposes (to produce games) is fair use
- Lawsuits debated “look and feel” of applications

File sharing

- MP3 file format enables 10x compression
- Napster service opened, 1999, to enable sharing of MP3 files among users using central lists of users and files
- One survey found 75% of college students sampled used Napster more than once a month
- Gnutella and other services used a decentralized listing concept

Response to file sharing by industry and courts

- Music industry obtained injunction against Napster users
- 18 record companies sued Napster to stop listing copyrighted songs without permission, winning in court
- Supreme Court okayed lawsuits against Gnutella-based decentralized system as encouraging infringement

Peer-to-peer file sharing

- P2P is distinguished from communications with central server
- Napster software enabled P2P exchange of files listed in a directory on a central server
- Courts shut down Napster for enabling copyright violations
- KaZaA: true P2P application
- After courts refused to shut down similar Grokster and Morpheus sites, RIAA sued 261 users, Fall 03

4. Technical counter measures

Industry enforcement efforts

- CD recorders delayed long past technical introduction, 1988
- Industry delayed introduction of DVD players by threatening suits
- Recording Industry Association of America sued to stop shipping of an MP3 player; lost
- Industry pushed for laws to require copy-protection be built into playing devices

Controlling use of devices

- *Digital Rights Management* (DRM) allows restricted use, e.g., the Ipod system
- *Secure Digital Music Initiative* (SDMI) enabled industry copy protection by digital watermarking
- *Digital Millennium Copyright Act* (DMCA, 1998) enables lawsuits against devices that circumvent copy protection, even if no infringement occurs
- DMCA was used to threaten suit causing cancellation of presentation of research about flaws in SDMI

Content scrambling

- Content Scramble System (CSS) enabled DVD producers to limit playing of disks to CSS-equipped players
- DeCSS software, produced by a young person in Norway, enabled unscrambling on Linux machines, allowing viewing of legally purchased DVDs
- Film industry sued to prevent free distribution of DeCSS, obtaining injunctions against posting code or linking to code; upheld on appeal

5. Legal counter measures

- Sonny Bono Term Extension Act, 1998: increased term of copyright by 20 years to life-of-author plus 70 years
- No Electronic Theft (NET) act, 1997: Criminalized circumvention of copyright protection, even for fair use
- Before NET, copyright had been a civil-law issue

Criminalization of copyright infringement

- 1982, Congress made high-volume copying of music and video a felony
- 1992, copying for private gain became a 5-year felony
- 1997, No Electronic Theft Act following D. LaMacchia software-distribution case without private gain
- Digital Millennium Copyright Act criminalized circumvention of copy-protection systems
- 2005, recording film in a theater became a felony

Safe harbor and takedown notices

- DMCA permits copyright owners to demand takedown of unauthorized web-site postings without penalty to hosts (safe harbor)
- Competitors of sites often submit such takedown notices
- Many takedown notices seem to be invalid (e.g., fair use), so takedown may have chilling effect on valid posting

References

S. Baase. *A Gift of Fire*, 3rd ed. Pearson
Prentice Hall, 2008, Ch. 4.

M. Castells. *Rise of the Network Society*, 2nd
ed. Blackwell, 2000.

R. Spinello and H. Tavani, ed. *Readings in
CyberEthics*, 2nd ed. Jones and Bartlett,
2004, Ch. 3.