

http://lupus.econ.duke.edu/ecoteach/undergrad/manual.pdf

NECHE Indicators of Educational Effectiveness

If you have any questions or concerns about the form, please contact Brittany Brown at bbrown3@framingham.edu or 508-626-4742.

rogram Asses	ssment		
First Name:	*Lori	Last Name:	*Lavigne
Banner ID:	*300818720	Email:	*Ilavigne@framingham.edu
Please selec	ct the reporting period this assessment/a	accreditation work was	completed:
* 2020-2021		\vee	
	et the type of program you completed assigning your initial selection, please refresh this		
* Undergradua		Sugo prior to making a ric ✓	w concollent.
Please selec	et the program you completed assessme	nt for during this report	ting period:
* Economics		\checkmark	
•	ning Objectives Assessed program learning objective assessed du	uring this reporting per	iod:
LO #4) St	udents will be able to analyze and evaluate id	leas, arguments, and poin	its of view using economic theory.
	program learning objective assessed, of g. capstone assignment, portfolio review		
Economics S	bjectives of Learning outcome #4 have been Senior Seminar. All senior economics majors before graduation. 2021 has been assessed	are required to take the co	ourse in the last spring semester students
Econ480: Se	nior Seminar Research Paper Assignment		
and significal page paper of the semes topic or not.	oals of the Senior Seminar in Economics is to nt research project that will culminate in a pre- on a topic related to the work of one or more ster. Nobel Laureates covered or not covered Students may also choose to examine how to obelprize.org/nobel_prizes/economics/laureate	esentation in front of depar of the Economics Nobel L d in class may be used. To wo different Nobel Laureat	rtmental faculty. Students will write a 15-20 aureates and present the paper at the end he topic may be related to the actual prize
1	ay be an empirical, a theoretical, an econom criptions of these different types of economic		

The paper is an iterative process for preparing the paper will be followed in which students will submit parts on a flow basis and receive feedback from the instructor throughout the semester. In addition to the steps below, students will hold individual conferences with the instructor to discuss the project.

For the first program learning objective assessed what were the results/outcomes/findings/conclusion(s)?

Average score for Learning outcome #1 was 3.25 which falls between 'Good' and 'Excellent.'

The artifacts were scored by Dr. Lori Lavigne and Dr. Laura Lamontagne after a norming session. Dr. Lavigne and Dr. Lamontagne both scored the artifacts separately then compared scores. No score was off by more than 1 point and given the close scoring between the two faculty members, we decided to take the average when different scores were presented. For example, the artifact was given a 3 and 4, the artifact was granted a 3.5 as the final score. 2 of the 4 scores were the same across both reviewers.

Attach any additional documents (data or survey summaries, charts, graphs etc.) that support your results/findings/conclusions (optional):

For the first program learning objective assessed what changes/improvements have been made as a result of using the data/evidence?

This is the first year of proper assessment for the department on Learning Objective #4. We do not have scores to compare this to.

Did you assess any additional program learning objectives during this reporting period?

* O Yes

No

Who interprets the results/findings of the assessment? Describe the process (e.g. annually by the curriculum committee).

The artifacts were scored by Dr. Lori Lavigne and Dr. Laura Lamontagne after a norming session. Dr. Lavigne and Dr. Lamontagne both scored the artifacts separately then compared scores. No score was off by more than 1 point and given the close scoring between the two faculty members, we decided to take the average when different scores were presented. For example, the artifact was given a 3 and 4, the artifact was granted a 3.5 as the final score. 2 of the 4 scores were the same across both reviewers.

Assessment Activities

Please list the assessment activities (other than the assessment of program learning objectives) completed during this reporting period (assessment plans, rubrics etc.).

Assessment plan for 2022-2027 was created as well as assessment considerations for curriculum changes. The department is considering changing the Economics Senior Seminar to a course offered every 2 years and the assessment plan will be altered to accommodate these changes.

Please attach the related documents produced as a result of the activities listed in above (mandatory if funding is requested for this work):

*assessmentplan2022.doc

Program Information					
Enter the year of the most recent program review. If the progr TBD (to be determined).	am is new, enter the upcoming program review year or enter				
2021					
Insert the URL of the web page where Program Learning Object NECHE requires this as part of being transparent to stakeholders.	ctives for this program are published:				
https://www.framingham.edu/academics/colleges/business/accounting	-economics-finance/economics/				
Signatures					
Lori Lavigne	11/04/2021				
Submitter Signature	Date				
Office of Institutional Assessment					
Office of Institutional Assessment Only					
Institutional Assessment Signature	Date				